- Q1. Am I at risk inside my home?
- A. Shielding inside from current foundation & aggregate base.
- Q1. Will you sample under homes?

A.

Q2. Why didn't the EPA scan before transfer in 2004?

A.

- Q3. What about all the other parcels? Could they have rad objects too? Does this mean you should test more on Parcel G?
- Q4. Does this mean the Historical Radiological Assessment is worthless?
- Q5. Will you remove the vegetation on the slope and scan all of it.
- Q6. If there is no risk from this object, why did you remove it?"
  - A. It did not pose a risk where it was located when we found it, but we removed it because it could potentially pose a risk if it was ever brought to the surface by future construction or development. In addition, the RODs require that the Navy remove any material that is identified as Navy contamination that exceeds the clean-up goals, and this object would fit that criterion.
- Q7. Was this another sign of Tetra Tech faking?
  - A. This object was not associated w/Tetra Tech EC Inc.'s work at Hunters Point. This area was never scanned before for radiological contamination, as it was not in an area that was identified by the Navy as radiologically impacted.
  - B. Q8. How do you know rad materials are not elsewhere on Parcel A? ON other parts of the site?
- Q. How did this get there?
- Q. How does this change the cleanup plan?
- Q. Will you do more thorough scanning?
- Q. What investigation was done before?
- Q. Could there be more left behind?
- Q. What if my child or dog found this object? Would it be safe?
- Q. What is a deck marker?
- Q. Where is this deck marker right now? Where is it stored?
- A. Put it in a trailer onsite.

- Q. How much has been done
- A.
- Q. alpha beta exposure?
- Q. How long over the soil before has a risk?
- A. sensitive radiation
- Q. What if rad object broken?
- Q. What if kids put into their mouths?